IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

FRIDAY, THE 7TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 16TH BHADRA 1929

CRP.No. 874 of 2006()

EOP.22/2005 of MUNSIFF COURT, OTTAPPALAM
.....

REVN. PETITIONER: 1ST RESPONDENT

SUKUMARAN T., S/O. LATE RAMAKRISHNAN NAIR, AGED 46 YRS, THEKKEVEETTIL, POST KIZHOOR, PANAMANNA, OTTAPALAM TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.E.R.VENKATESWARAN

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS 2 AND 3
---

1. UNNIKRISHNAN, S/O. KIZHAKKETHIL GOVINDANKUTTY MENON, KIZHOOR AMSOM DESOM, OTTAPALAM TALUK.

2. MOHANDAS, S/O. PATHAYAPURAYIL KRISHNANKUTTY, KIZHOOR AMSOM DESOM, OTTAPALAM TALUK.

3. RADHAKRISHNAN, S/O. VADAKKEPURACKAL GOVINDAN, KIZHOOR AMSOM DESOM, OTTAPALAM TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 07/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

M.N.Krishnan, J.

==========

C.R.P.No.874 of 2006

==========

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2007.

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is preferred against the order on a preliminary issue whereby the court below found that the election petition is maintainable. Learned counsel for the revision petitioner in fairness brought to my notice the very same question, which was challenged by another party in W.P.(C) No.16556 of 2006 and this Court by judgment dated 21.8.2007 dismissed that Writ Petition upholding the order of the court below. I do not find any ground to deviate from the said order passed by this Court in the Writ Petition. Therefore on the same ground this Civil Revision Petition is also dismissed.

M.N.Krishnan,
Judge.

ess 7/9